

Relative abundance and activity patterns of mesomammals in central Andes

MARÍA ESTELA VISCARRA¹, GUIDO MARCOS AYALA^{1,3*}, HERMINIO TICONA¹ AND ROBERT BENEDICT WALLACE^{1,2}

¹Wildlife Conservation Society, Greater Madidi-Tambopata Landscape. Calle Gabino Villanueva 340. La Paz, Bolivia. E-mail: mviscarra@wcs.org (MV), gayala@wcs.org (GA), hticono@wcs.org (HT), rwallace@wcs.org (RW).

²Wildlife Conservation Society, NY 10460, Bronx, U.S.A.

³Departament of Biology & Centre Environmental and Marine Studies (CESAM), University of Aveiro. 3810-13 Aveiro, Portugal.

*Corresponding author

The natural history and ecology of mammals in the High Andes and Andean cloud forests are poorly known. This work aims to analyze the relative abundance and activity patterns of medium and large-sized mammals in the Andean portions of the Greater Madidi-Tambopata landscape using the camera trap methodology. Between 2012 to 2017, twelve sites were sampled covering an altitudinal gradient from 1,057 to 4,902 masl. A total of 311 digital camera trap stations were installed, and we applied a total effort of 5,144 trap nights (TN). We recorded a total of 21,346 photographs and 1,152 independent events from which we identified 28 species of mammals. The species with the highest relative abundance were *Lycalopex culpaeus* from 1 to 19.89/100TN, *Didelphis pernigra* from 0.1 to 10.71/100TN, and *Eira barbara* from 0.2 to 10.48/100TN. An analysis of activity patterns of the species that presented more than 10 independent events was carried out. The species with clearly nocturnal habits were *Conepatus chinga*, *Cuniculus paca*, *Cuniculus taczanowskii*, *Didelphis pernigra*, *Mazama chunyi*, *Mazama americana*, *Dasyurus novemcinctus*, *Didelphis marsupialis*, *Lagidium viscacia*, *Lycalopex culpaeus* and *Leopardus tigrinus*, while *Dasyprocta variegata*, *Nasua* sp., *Eira barbara*, *Hippocamelus antisensis* and *Tremarctos ornatus* were diurnal; *Puma concolor* and *Neogale mustela* were cathemeral. The information obtained in our study responds to information gaps of a poorly studied mammal community and highlights the importance of Andean habitats for the conservation and management of montane species.

La historia natural y la ecología de los mamíferos en los hábitats andinos y los bosques nublados de los Andes son poco conocidas. Este trabajo tiene como objetivo analizar la abundancia relativa y los patrones de actividad de mamíferos medianos y grandes en áreas andinas del Gran Paisaje Madidi-Tambopata, se utilizó la metodología de registros y monitoreo con cámara trampa. Entre 2012 y 2017, se muestrearon doce sitios que cubrieron un gradiente altitudinal de 1,057 a 4,902 msnm. Se instalaron un total de 311 estaciones de trampas cámara digitales, se obtuvo un esfuerzo total de 5,144 noches trampa (TN). Se registraron un total de 21,346 fotografías y 1,152 eventos independientes, identificando 28 especies de mamíferos. Las especies con mayor abundancia fueron *Lycalopex culpaeus* de 1 a 19.89/100TN, *Didelphis pernigra* de 0.1 a 10.71/100TN y *Eira barbara* de 0.2 a 10.48/100TN. Se realizó un análisis de patrones de actividad de las especies que presentaron más de 10 eventos independientes. Las especies con hábitos claramente nocturnos fueron *Conepatus chinga*, *Cuniculus paca*, *Cuniculus taczanowskii*, *Didelphis pernigra*, *Mazama chunyi*, *Mazama americana*, *Dasyurus novemcinctus*, *Didelphis marsupialis*, *Lagidium viscacia*, *Lycalopex culpaeus* y *Leopardus tigrinus*, mientras que *Dasyprocta variegata*, *Nasua* sp., *Eira barbara*, *Hippocamelus antisensis* y *Tremarctos ornatus* fueron diurnos. *Puma concolor* y *Neogale mustela* fueron catamerales. La información obtenida en este estudio responde al vacío de información de una comunidad de mamíferos poco estudiados y destaca la importancia de los hábitats andinos y montanos para la conservación y manejo de especies de montaña.

Keywords: Camera traps; circadian cycle; mesocarnivores; montane cloud forests; Rayleigh test.

© 2022 Asociación Mexicana de Mastozoología, www.mastozoologiamexicana.org

Introduction

Research on medium and large-sized mammals in Bolivia has so far focused on lowland habitats, especially the Amazonian, Chiquitano and Chaco forests, with a lack of information from Andean montane habitats, which harbor a great diversity of poorly known species (Wallace *et al.* 2010a). Currently, Andean montane habitats are undergoing an intensification of land use, as well as the effects of climate change, which are also very noticeable and accelerated in these regions (Herzog *et al.* 2011). Andean montane forests are unique and fragile ecosystems that are the main source of water on a regional and continental scale, but they are currently experiencing accelerated deforestation rates, which are the greatest threat to their significant biological diversity (Tejedor-Garavito 2012).

Abundance and density estimates are important for wildlife management and conservation studies because they are population parameters that vary over time and space, which allow monitoring temporal variations of the population and indirectly assess the quality of habitats (Walker *et al.* 2000). Estimating the density of Neotropical mammals can be costly and difficult to obtain due to nocturnal habits, low numbers of individuals and evasiveness, and therefore the use of relative abundance indices is recommended for species without individual tags. These indices are easy to estimate and are expressed as photographic events per unit of effort (Rovero and Marshall 2009; Monroy-Vilchis *et al.* 2011).

Knowledge of the activity patterns of a species is important for understanding the biology and ecology of

the species and inform management and conservation plans (Foster *et al.* 2013; Ayala *et al.* 2020). Activity patterns can be influenced by abiotic factors such as light intensity and temperature (Albanesi *et al.* 2016), as well as biotic factors such as physiology, inter- and intraspecific interactions (Porfirio *et al.* 2016), and anthropogenic disturbances (Van Dyke *et al.* 1986). In particular, the activity patterns of carnivores tend to be synchronized with the time of greatest vulnerability of their main prey (Theuerkauf *et al.* 2003).

The use of camera traps in the field of biology and particularly in wildlife research has increased exponentially in the last 25 years contributing to the knowledge of many species that are difficult to detect and study due to their low densities and elusive behavior (Karanth *et al.* 2004; Nichols *et al.* 2011). Camera traps are used as a tool for research on topics as diverse as the presence and distribution of species (Bowkett *et al.* 2007), species richness (Tobler *et al.* 2008; Ahumada *et al.* 2011), abundances and population densities (Wallace *et al.* 2003; Tobler *et al.* 2013), predator-prey relationships (Ayala *et al.* 2020), survival (Karanth *et al.* 2002), occupancy (Nichols and Karanth 2002), habitat preference (Alempijevic *et al.* 2021), activity patterns (Ayala *et al.* 2020), and behavior (Viscarra *et al.* 2019). The use of camera traps is one of the most important and versatile methods for conservation biology research (Ayala *et al.* 2020; Mena *et al.* 2020).

Our study focused on three questions: 1) What are the species of medium and large mammals present in the High Andes and montane cloud forests of the Andean portion of the Greater Madidi-Tambopata Landscape? 2) What species are the most abundant? 3) What is the pattern of activity? The information obtained will respond to information gaps in a little studied mammal community and this baseline will allow us to monitor wildlife population behavioral changes in the years to come.

Materials and methods

Study area. The research was carried out at 12 Andean sites in the Greater Madidi-Tambopata Landscape, a transboundary landscape, between northwestern Bolivia and southeastern Perú (Figure 1). This area covers approximately 142,530 km² (Bolivia 106,810 km²; Perú 35,720 km²), composed of six national protected areas, as well several indigenous communities and municipal protected areas. This landscape is characterized by an impressive altitudinal range (180 to 6,044 masl), and a varied topography and climate that have resulted in diverse plant and animal communities and a high number of endemic species. The Puina, Pampas de Apolo, Mamacona, Cargadero, Isañuj, Sarayoj, Keara and Suhez study sites are within the Madidi National Park and Natural Area of Integrated Management (PN ANMI Madidi), Pusupunku and Piwara are within the Apolobamba National Natural Area of Integrated Management (PN ANMI Apolobamba), and the Acero Marka and Camino Yungas sites are immediately adjacent to the Cotapata National Park and Natural Area of Integrated Management (PN ANMI Cotapata). Sampling sites covered Andean and montane

habitats (Table 1). The High Andes region includes mountains that exceed 6,000 masl, but our study was concentrated in an altitudinal range of 4,100 to 4,902 masl characterized by undulating plateaus crossed by mountain ranges. The climate is generally cold and dry with intense solar radiation and large daily thermal amplitudes. Average annual temperatures range from below 0 °C to 9 °C (Navarro 2002). The montane cloud forests are found on the steep slopes and peaks and can be divided into the following levels: tree line forest (3,000 to 3,600 masl), upper montane forest (2,700 to 3,000 masl), mid-montane forest (1,700 to 2,600 masl) and lower montane forest (1,100 to 1,700 masl). The climate and topography result in a relatively low and evergreen forest with leathery leaves (Ribera 1995; Identidad Madidi and SERNAP 2017, 2019). Epiphytes abound, especially mosses and lichens, which cover tree trunks, branches, and treetops, as well as a thickness soft layer that covers the ground. The average temperature is 18 °C, and the humidity is 80 % (Panagua-Zambrana *et al.* 2003).

Camera Trap Methodology. Between 2012 to 2017, a total of 12 camera trap campaigns were implemented. A variable number of camera trap stations were placed 1 to 2 km apart from each other, each station consisted of one or two cameras placed face to face, each set at 50 to 70 cm from the ground (Noss *et al.* 2013). Three digital camera trap models were used: Reconyx® HC500, HC550® (USA) and Bushnell® HD 119477 (USA). All cameras were programmed to operate 24 hours a day, to take 1 to 10 shots, depending on the camera model (Ayala *et al.* 2020).




Figure 1. Map of 12 sampling sites (numbered red polygons: 1 = Acero Marka, 2 = Camino Yungas, 3 = Cargadero, 4 = Isañuj, 5 = Keara, 6 = Mamacona, 7 = Pampas de Apolo, 8 = Pasto Grande, 9 = Puina, 10 = Puina, 11 = Sarayoj, 12 = Suhez) in the Andean portion of the Greater Madidi-Tambopata Landscape.

The camera trap stations were placed in the predominant habitats of each study area: a) open areas along the main and secondary rivers; b) forest, often located along animal trails and small streams within the forest; c) pampa, open grassland locations, and d) rocky outcrops, this terrain is arid, sparsely vegetated, and steep. Between 7 and 71 stations were placed at each study site, sampling effective areas of between 2.1 to 92.4 km² (Table 1). Each camera trap campaign was conducted for a period of between 5 to 80 of effective sampling days. The location of all the camera trap stations were georeferenced.

Relative Abundance Index. To quantify photographic records, we calculated independent events for each species, where photographs of the same species at a given station separated by 30 minutes are considered as separate events (O'Brien et al. 2003). Relative abundance index (RAI) was calculated through the capture rate expressed as the number of independent events per total number of traps night during the study and multiplied by 100 (O'Brien et al. 2003). The traps night are the days that the camera traps were active during the study.

Activity Pattern Analysis. All photographs were classified according to the time automatically registered on each photograph. The following categories were defined for the analysis: a) *Sunrise*, 30 minutes before the first ray of sunlight rises above the horizon, b) *Day*, begins at the moment when the first rays of the sun appear on the horizon, c) *Sunset*, begins at the moment when the sun is lost on the horizon, d) *Night*, begins 30 minutes after the sunset on the horizon (Ayala et al. 2020). This classification was made based on the sunrise and sunset time of each sampling day for the location of each sampling site (www.tutiempo.net).

Statistical analyses were performed in R software version 3.3.2 (R Core Team 2011). Using the Circular package (Agostinelli and Lund 2013), a Rayleigh test was conducted to assess the distribution uniformity on the daily activity data to evaluate whether or not species exhibited a random activity pattern over the circadian cycle. The synchronization of non-random data could indicate that the animals

are nocturnal, diurnal, or crepuscular, whereas if the data are evenly distributed throughout the day, the species are classified as cathemeral (Pratas-Santiago et al. 2016).

We used Kernel density estimation to generate the activity patterns for each species, Kernel density estimations are a non-parametric way to estimate the probability density function of a distribution of records (Linkie and Ridout 2011). The analysis of activity patterns of species with more than 10 independent events was carried out (Gerber et al. 2012; Monterroso et al. 2014).

Results

The sites sampled covered an altitudinal gradient from 1,157 to 4,902 masl. A total of 311 digital camera trap stations were installed, obtaining a sampling effort of 5,144 trap nights (TN; Table 1). A total of 21,346 photographs and 1,152 independent events were obtained, identifying 28 species of mammals. The species with the highest number of photographs were *Didelphis pernigra* ($n = 10,305$), *Lagidium viscacia* ($n = 2,030$) and *Lycalopex culpaeus* ($n = 1,929$; Table 2).

The species with the highest relative abundance were *L. culpaeus* with a range of 1 - 19.89/100 TN, *D. pernigra* with 0.1 - 10.71/100 TN, *L. viscacia* with 1.6 - 14.43/100 TN, *Eira barbara* with 0.2 - 10.48/100 TN, *Mazama chunyi* with 0.31 - 3.14/100 TN and *Nasua* sp. 0.17 - 2.9/100 TN (Table 2).

The Rayleigh test for data uniformity revealed that eleven of the 18 species with more than 10 independent events showed clear nocturnal activity patterns (Table 3). *Conepatus chinga* (92 % nocturnal) registered activity peaks between 20:30 to 21:30 hrs and 4:00 to 5:30 hrs. *Cuniculus paca* (100 %) had activity peaks between 22:30 to 24:00 hrs, whilst *C. taczanowskii* (97 %) had activity peaks between 20:30 to 22:00 hrs. Activity peaks were between 18:30 to 19:30 hrs for *Didelphis pernigra* (95 %), and between 20:30 to 22:30 hrs for *D. marsupialis* (100 %). The dwarf brocket deer (*Mazama chunyi*) is predominantly nocturnal (83 %), with very little diurnal (10 %) and crepuscular activity (7 %), and a clear activity peak between 17:30 to 19:30 hrs. The red brocket deer (*Mazama americana*) is predominantly

Table 1. Sampling sites, habitats, number of camera trap stations and camera trap nights sampled in the Andean portion of the Greater Madidi-Tambopata Landscape.

Year	Survey Site	Habitat	Altitude Range (masl)	Camera Trap Stations	Camera Trap Nights	Sampled Polygon (km ²)
2012	Acero Marka (AMK)	High Andes – Tree Line Forest	3,117 – 3,759	19	1,254.2	5.5
	Puina 1 (PNA1)	Tree Line Forest	3,157 – 3,404	7	241.1	2.2
	Pusupunku-Piwara (PP)	Tree Line Forest	2,837 – 3,514	12	373.3	2.1
2015	Pampas Apolo (PDA)	Mid-montane Cloud Forest	1,691 – 2,336	59	482.3	38.4
	Puina 2 (PNA 2)	High Andes – Tree Line Forest	3,152 – 4,761	71	974.5	92.4
2016	Mamacona (MAN)	Low-montane Cloud Forest	1,521 – 2,123	28	312.3	21.9
	Cargadero (CGR)	Mid-montane Cloud Forest	1,317 – 3,223	28	350.3	3.5
	Isañuj (ISÑ)	High Andes – Tree line Forest	3,298 – 3,611	15	298.3	2.5
	Camino Yungas (CYUN)	Mid-montane Cloud Forest	1,670 – 3,049	28	515.4	17.9
2017	Sarayoj (SRJ)	Upper Foothill Forest	1,157 – 1,448	20	135.9	8.8
	Keara (KR)	Upper Montane Cloud Forest	2,750 – 3,000	16	176.4	2.1
	Suchez (SCH)	High Andes	4,805 – 4,902	8	30.2	2.4
Total	12		1,157 – 4,902	311	5,144.3	193.5

nocturnal (80 %), with very little diurnal (20 %), activity peaks were between 18:30 to 24:00 hrs. The nine-banded armadillo (*Dasybus novemcinctus*) is primarily nocturnal (70 %), with little diurnal (30 %), and a clear activity peak between 18:30 to 1:30 hrs (Figures 2, 4). The Andean fox (*Lycalopex culpaeus*) is mainly nocturnal (74 %), with some diurnal (22 %) and crepuscular (4 %) activity, and peaks between 18:30 to 19:30 hrs and 22:30 to 23:30 hrs. The oncilla (*Leopardus tigrinus*) is primarily nocturnal (82 %), with some diurnal (14 %) and crepuscular (4 %) activity, and activity peaks between 17:30 to 21:30 hrs and 5:30 to 6:30 hrs. The northern vizcacha (*L. viscacia*) is nocturnal (58 %), with less diurnal (34 %) and crepuscular activity (8 %), and activity peaks between 20:30 - 01:30 hrs (Figures 3, 4).

The Rayleigh test for data uniformity revealed that the long-tailed weasel (*Neogale frenata*) is cathemeral predominantly nocturnal (43 %) and crepuscular (35 %), with less

diurnal activity (21 %). The puma (*Puma concolor*) is cathemeral predominantly nocturnal (50 %), with less diurnal (40 %) and crepuscular activity (10 %) and activity peaks between 8:30 to 11:30 hrs and 18:00 to 21:30 hrs (Figures 3, 4, Table 3). Five species presented predominantly diurnal habits (Table 3), the tayra (*Eira barbara* 96 %) without showing activity peaks during the day. The Andean bear (*Tremarctos ornatus* 88 %) showed an activity peak between 13:30 to 15:30 hrs. The agouti (*Dasyprocta variegata*) is mainly diurnal (76 %) with some nocturnal and crepuscular activity (24 %) and a peak between 16:30 to 17:30 hrs. The coati (*Nasua* sp.) was predominantly diurnal (76 %), with less nocturnal activity (24 %) and an activity peak between 06:30 to 10:00 hrs. The north Andean deer (*Hippocamelus antisensis*) is predominantly diurnal (70 %) with some nocturnal and crepuscular activity (30 %) and a peak between 8:30 to 17:30 hrs (Figures 3, 4).

Table 2. Number of photographs, independent events (IE) and Relative Abundance Index (RAI) registered at 12 sample sites (AMK = Acero Marka, PNA 1 = Puina 1, PNA 2 = Puina 2, PP = Pusupunku-Piwara, PDA = Pampas de Apolo, MAM = Mamacona, CGR = Cargadero, ISÑ = Isañuj, CYUN = Camino Yungas, SRJ = Sarayoj, KR = Keara, SCH = Suceh) in the Andean portion of the Greater Madidi-Tambopata Landscape.

Species	Photos	IE	AMK	PNA1	PP	PDA	PNA2	MAM	CGR	ISÑ	CYUN	SRJ	KR	SCH
Primates														
<i>Sapajus apella</i>	25	5				0.86±0.40		0.35±0.33						
<i>Lycalopex culpaeus</i>	1,929	235	16.38±7.86				1±0.43			1.04±0.11			6.81±3.16	19.89±12.16
<i>Leopardus colocola</i>	27	3					0.3±0.17							
<i>Leopardus jacobita</i>	17	3					0.31±0.18							
<i>Leopardus pardalis</i>	44	4						0.36±0.34				2.14±1.55		
<i>Leopardus tigrinus</i>	177	22		0.41±0.12	0.54±0.11		0.31±0.17	1.72±0.66	0.3±0.29	0.63±0.44	1.51±0.59			
<i>Puma concolor</i>	63	11	0.08±0.08					0.35±0.33		1.96±1.11	0.39±0.36		0.57±0.57	
<i>Puma yagouaroundi</i>	19	2									0.39±0.39			
<i>Conepatus chinga</i>	608	53	3.15±2.35				1.11±1.06						1.73±0.87	3.5±3.23
<i>Eira barbara</i>	143	27		0.83±0.15		1.07±0.53		0.69±0.45	0.57±0.38		0.2±0.21	10.48±7.39	0.59±0.56	
<i>Neogale frenata</i>	76	15	0.15±0.15			0.21±0.22	0.11±0.11				0.19±0.19		0.57±0.57	
<i>Nasua</i> sp.	1,086	33	0.17±0.16	2.9±0.42	3.21±1.22			1.01±0.53			0.96±0.47			
<i>Tremarctos ornatus</i>	459	17	0.24±0.12	1.8±0.31					0.29±0.28			1±0.49		
Artiodactyla														
<i>Hippocamelus antisensis</i>	172	12	0.48±0.28				0.62±0.28							
<i>Odocoileus virginianus</i>	8	1					0.1±0.11							
<i>Mazama chunyi</i>	1,063	84	2.47±1.02	3.32±0.27	1.33±0.21	1.7±0.68	0.31±0.23	1.05±0.56	1.44±0.72		3.14±1.22		1.77±1.72	
<i>Mazama americana</i>	81	10				1.09±0.55		1.04±0.54				1.42±0.95		
Xenarthra														
<i>Dasybus novemcinctus</i>	92	12				0.03±0.91					1.19±1.15			
Rodentia														
<i>Cuniculus paca</i>	442	47						7.67±2.26	4.11±3.14		0.6±0.58	5.05±2.14		
<i>Cuniculus taczanowskii</i>	1,961	76	1.98±0.96	3.32±1.22	1.86±0.21	0.43±0.45			2.25±0.91	3.08±1.26	2±0.98		0.6±0.57	
<i>Dasyprocta variegata</i>	299	29				0.21±0.21		4.47±1.37	0.54±0.56		1.13±0.95	4.97±2.86		
<i>Coendou bicolor</i>	10	1				0.22±0.21								
<i>Lagidium viscacia</i>	2,030	170	1.66±1.12				14.43±3.67							6.74±4.13
<i>Notosciurus pucheranii</i>	36	8				0.63±0.47		1.02±0.53			0.19±0.19	0.76±0.69		
<i>Hadroscurus spadiceus</i>	3	1										0.73±0.71		
Didelphimorphia														
<i>Didelphis marsupialis</i>	152	17									1.36±0.58	6.74±3.15		
<i>Didelphis pernigra</i>	10,305	249	6.16±3.27		10.71±2.32		0.1±0.12				0.78±0.38		2.77±1.44	
Lagomorpha														
<i>Lepus europaeus</i>	19	5					0.52±0.36							




Figure 2. Activity patterns of nocturnal species in the Andean portion of the Greater Madidi-Tambopata Landscape. The two parallel dotted lines represent approximate sunrise and sunset (between 6:00 to 6:30 and 18:00 to 18:30 h, respectively, throughout the year). Shaded areas represent nighttime.

Discussion

Camera traps can record the presence of rare species, document geographic range, and reveal behaviors, as well as estimate populations, and identify habitat requirements (Karanth and Nichols 2002; O'Connell et al. 2011). In our study we were able to register cryptic species such as *N. frenata* and *L. tigrinus*, which previously had few records in these habitats. The camera traps also confirmed the presence of a phenotypically distinct coati (*Nasua* sp.).

Leopardus tigrinus is one of the species that has very little abundance data in montane forest and we obtained abundance data for this species from six sampled sites, where the sites with the highest abundance were Mamacona (MAM; $1.72 \pm 0.66/100$ TN) and Camino Yungas (CYUN; $1.51 \pm 0.59/100$ TN), both sites belong to the lower and mid

montane forest between 1,300 to 2,700 masl where a variety of marsupials and small arboreal rodents, which are frequent preys for this feline, are present (Wang 2002). The nocturnal activity patterns found on *L. tigrinus* are similar to those reported in other studies in similar habitats (Bonilla-Sánchez et al. 2020).

The mountain paca (*C. taczanowskii*) is a rodent that inhabits Andean cloud forests from Venezuela to northern Bolivia and is most abundant between 2,000 to 3,350 masl (Wallace et al. 2010b). In this study, we registered the species between 1,943 to 3,552 masl at eight of our study sites (Table 2), with lower relative abundances than those reported in the montane forests of Peru (Jiménez et al. 2010: 17.0 and 1.6/100 TN). Activity patterns were predominantly nocturnal and are consistent with those reported in other

studies (Jiménez *et al.* 2010). *C. taczanowskii* is considered an upland species while *Cuniculus paca* a lowland species, however, they may be sympatric in certain areas of their distribution ranges (Wallace *et al.* 2010b). In our study, both species were recorded at the Camino Yungas (CYUN) and Cargadero (CGR; Table 2), and these sites belong to the middle montane forest, which are transitional areas between upland and low-

land habitats. Due to the scarce records of *C. paca*, it was not possible to carry out the analyses of the overlapping of activity patterns to observe whether there was a temporal segregation between the two species as they have similar morphology and trophic requirements. It is therefore important to continue to generate information in transitional habitats to better understand the ecology of both species.




Figure 3. Activity patterns of species with nocturnal, cathemeral and diurnal habits in the Andean portion of the Greater Madidi-Tambopata Landscape. The two parallel dotted lines represent approximate sunrise and sunset (between 6:00 to 6:30 and 18:00 to 18:30 h, respectively, throughout the year). Shaded areas represent nighttime.

Table 3. Rayleigh uniformity test (Z) for the temporal activity of medium and large-sized mammals in Andean montane habitats of the Greater Madidi-Tambopata Landscape. * = for a non-random distribution ($P < 0.05$).

Species	Classification	Z	p
<i>Leopardus tigrinus</i>	Nocturnal	0.3709	0.046
<i>Lycalopex culpaeus</i>	Nocturnal	0.3533	0.01
<i>Conepatus chinga</i>	Nocturnal	0.5655	0.01
<i>Mazama chunyi</i>	Nocturnal	0.7300	0.01
<i>Mazama americana</i>	Nocturnal	0.4692	0.01
<i>Dasypus novemcinctus</i>	Nocturnal	0.6066	0.01
<i>Cuniculus paca</i>	Nocturnal	0.7565	0.01
<i>Cuniculus taczanowskii</i>	Nocturnal	0.7625	0.01
<i>Didelphis marsupialis</i>	Nocturnal	0.7571	0.01
<i>Didelphis pernigra</i>	Nocturnal	0.6527	0.01
<i>Lagidium viscacia</i>	Nocturnal	0.2292	0.001
<i>Nasua sp.</i>	Diurnal	0.2948	0.055
<i>Hippocamelus antisensis</i>	Diurnal	0.3262	0.028
<i>Eira barbara</i>	Diurnal	0.6608	0.01
<i>Dasyprocta variegata</i>	Diurnal	0.4517	0.01
<i>Tremarctos ornatus</i>	Diurnal	0.5809	0.002
<i>Puma concolor</i>	Cathemeral	0.2614	*0.391
<i>Neogale frenata</i>	Cathemeral	0.3087	*0.243

The northern vizcacha (*L. viscacia*) has been described as a diurnal-crepuscular species (Walker et al. 2007), but at high altitude sites nocturnal activity patterns with peaks at dawn have been reported (Lucherini et al. 2009; Huaranca et al. 2019). Our results coincide with a primarily nocturnal activity pattern, with an activity peak between 20:30 to 1:30

hrs. The sites of AceroMarka (AMK), Suchez (SCH) and Puina (PNA) where *L. viscacia* was recorded are above 3,700 masl where one of the main livelihoods of the local people is the raising of camelid cattle, *Vicugna pacos* and *Lama glama* (INE 2013). In these sites there is a trophic overlap regarding grass species (*Festuca* sp., *Stipa* sp.) between camelid livestock and vizcachas (Cortes et al. 2002). On the other hand, Tarifa et al. (2004) reported that vizcachas compete for food with cattle, therefore we consider that vizcachas are more active at night to avoid competing with camelids for food resources during the day. Dietary studies on the Andean cat (*Leopardus jacobita*) registered *L. viscacia* as the principal prey (Walker et al. 2007; Napolitano et al. 2008), and Andean cats exhibited an activity pattern similar to that of vizcachas (Lucherini et al. 2009; Huaranca et al. 2019). Our study yielded insufficient records of Andean cat to evaluate activity pattern overlap with vizcachas.

In our study, we obtained abundance estimates of *L. culpaeus* for five of the sites sampled, with the highest abundances found at the AceroMarka (AMK), Keara (KR) and Suchez (SCH) sites (Table 2). These three sites have camelid livestock, and it is possible that the high abundances of the Andean fox are related to the presence of domestic camelids, as dietary studies on *L. culpaeus* report their consumption of domestic camelids (Walker et al. 2007). The activity patterns of *L. culpaeus* are better studied in the region (Johnson and Franklin 1994; Salvatori et al. 1999; Lucherini et al. 2009), and in general agree with the findings in our study that *L. culpaeus* is primarily nocturnal. Dietary studies consider *L. culpaeus* as a generalist and opportunistic species with *L. viscacia* as the third most important prey (Walker et al. 2007). Using data from our study we performed an over-




Figure 4. Percentage of activity across the day (sunrise, day, sunset, night) of fourteen species with $n \geq 10$ independent events in the Andean portion of the Greater Madidi-Tambopata Landscape.

lap analysis of activity patterns between *L. culpaeus* and *L. viscacia* according to standardized methodology (Ridout and Linkie 2009; Ayala et al. 2020), and we registered a significant overlap between both species ($\Delta_1 = 0.86$, $IC = 0.7 - 0.99$, $U_2 = 0.14$, $P > 0.1$, Watson test of homogeneity) confirming that *L. viscacia* is one of the main preys for *L. culpaeus* (Figure 5).

The puma (*P. concolor*) despite being the largest felid in montane and high Andean habitats, has little information on abundances in these habitats. In our study it was recorded in five sites, with low abundances ranging from 0.08 to 1.96/100 TN. It is likely that this low abundance is due to conflict between local people and predators that consume domestic camelids. Studies on puma diet in the Bolivian highlands indicate that *V. pacos* and *L. glama* are the second and third most consumed food items, representing 32 % of their diet, causing pumas to be hunted or driven away (Pacheco et al. 2004). The cathemeral activity patterns found on *P. concolor* are similar to those reported in other studies in similar habitats (Caceres-Martinez et al. 2016).

The tayra (*E. barbara*), was recorded at seven sampling sites and the site with the highest abundance was Sarayoj (SRJ; Table 2). It is likely that the abundance was higher there because it was the lowest altitude site sampled and is the transition with piedmont habitats where similar abundances of 9.49/100 TN were reported with camera traps (Wallace et al. 2010a). Compared to previous studies in montane forests (Jiménez et al. 2010), relative abundance at our study sites were higher (Table 2) than in Peru (0.8 to 2/100 TN). This is a diurnal and crepuscular species with activity peaks between 13:00 to 15:00 hrs. The activity patterns we found are consistent with those reported in other studies (Delgado et al. 2011; Albanesi et al. 2016).

The Andean bear (*T. ornatus*) is considered a landscape species, principally due to its ecological role as a seed disperser (Velez-Liendo and Paisley 2010) and is also primar-

ily diurnal (Paisley and Garshelis 2006; Jiménez et al. 2010; Bonilla-Sánchez et al. 2020). Andean bears were registered at four sites in our study, at higher relative abundances than in Peru (Jiménez et al. 2010).

The unidentified coati (*Nasua* sp.) was registered at five sites between 1,557 to 3,398 m a.s.l., and was primarily diurnal with a relative abundance of 0.17 to 3.2/100 TN. Conversely, the mountain coati (*Nasuella olivacea*) in the montane forests of Colombia was primarily nocturnal with activity peaks between 19:00 to 20:00 hrs, (Ramírez-Mejía and Sánchez 2016). Previous studies indicate that coatis are mainly diurnal and crepuscular (Cáceres-Martínez et al. 2016).

Our results clearly demonstrate that *D. pernigra* is primarily a nocturnal species and these results coincide with previous studies (Cáceres-Martínez et al. 2016; Ramírez-Mejía and Sánchez 2016; Albanesi et al. 2016). However, Pérez-Hernández et al. (2016) reported activity patterns in low proportions during daylight hours, indicating that this behavior is possibly due to the behavioral plasticity and generalist character of this species, which is considered tolerant to anthropic intervention and urban environments.

Molina's hog-nosed skunk (*C. chinga*) is a nocturnal species, with no data on abundance in Bolivia (Tarifa et al. 2010). Studies in Patagonia, Argentina, revealed a completely nocturnal activity for *C. chinga*, with activity peaks between 19:00 to 22:00 hrs and 02:00 to 05:00 hrs (Donadio et al. 2001), although, there are recent reports of cathemeral habits in the Velez Peninsula of Patagonia (D'Agostino and Udrizar 2021). In our study, *C. chinga* is strongly nocturnal, with very few records during the day (Figure 2).

We provide some of the first information on the relative abundance and activity patterns of mammals in Andean habitats, especially the mysterious and relatively inaccessible montane cloud forests of the Tropical Andes. Our findings reveal the presence of 28 species of mesomammals in the sampled habitats, of which 11 species are nocturnal, 5




Figure 5. Overlap coefficient of daily activity patterns between *Lycalopex culpaeus* - *Lagidium viscacia*. The overlap is represented by the shaded area.

diurnal and 2 cathemeral species, where the most abundant species were *L. culpaeus* in the Andean habitats and *E. barbara* in montane habitats. The increasing threats to both habitats underscore the importance of long-term conservation research efforts to provide conservation management relevant knowledge on population and ecological dynamics, in addition to generating more support for national, municipal, and private protected areas in the Tropical Andes.

Acknowledgements

The Greater Madidi-Tambopata Landscape Conservation Program of the Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS) is financed by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (GBMF) and WCS. Specific financial support for camera trapping was provided by GBMF and WCS. We are grateful to the Bolivian Protected Area Service (SERNAP), the Bolivian Biodiversity and Protected Area Directorate (DGBAP), the Apolobamba, Madidi and Cotapata protected area administrations. We also thank E. Gonzales-Oliver, J. E. Gonzales-Marupa, E. Canare-Mati, F. Espinoza, and J. E. Buchapi-Yarari for assisting camera trapping efforts. We thank the Apolobamba, Cotapata and Madidi park guards who supported our activities over the years. A special thanks to all the local communities Suchez, Puina, Keara, Sarayoj, 3 de Mayo who unconditionally supported us for the development of all the investigations in the field, without their help and permission it would not have been easy to achieve the objectives of this investigation. A special thanks to A. Reinaga-Colomo and J. Martinez-Mollinedo.

Literature cited

- AGOSTINELLI, C., AND U. LUND. 2013. R package 'circular': Circular Statistics (version 0.4-7). <https://r-forge.r-project.org/projects/circular/>
- AHUMADA, J., ET AL. 2011. Community structure and diversity of tropical forest mammals: data from a global camera trap network. *Philosophical transactions of The Royal Society* 366:2703-2711.
- ALBANESI, S. A., J. P. JAYAT, AND A. D. BROWN. 2016. Patrones de actividad de mamíferos de medio y gran porte en el pie de monte de Yungas del Noroeste argentino. *Mastozoología Neotropical* 23:335-358.
- ALEMPJEVIC, D., ET AL. 2021. Using local knowledge and camera traps to investigate occurrence and habitat preference of an Endangered primate: the endemic dryas monkey in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Oryx* 56:260-267.
- AYALA, G., ET AL. 2020. Activity patterns of jaguar and puma and their main prey in the Greater Madidi-Tambopata Landscape (Bolivia, Peru). *Mammalia* 85:208-2019.
- BONILLA-SANCHEZ, A., ET AL. 2020. Riqueza y monitoreo de mamíferos en áreas protegidas privadas en Antioquia, Colombia. *Mastozoología Neotropical* 27:266-281.
- BOWKETT, A. E., F. ROVERO, AND A. R. MARSHALL. 2007. The use of camera-trap data to model habitat use by antelope species in the Udzungwa Mountain forests, Tanzania. *African Journal of Ecology* 46:479-487.
- CÁCERES-MARTÍNEZ, H., ET AL. 2016. Terrestrial medium and large-sized mammal's diversity and activity patterns from Tamá National Natural Park and buffer zone. *Therya* 7:285-298.
- CORTES, A., ET AL. 2002. Hábitos alimenticios de *Lagidium viscacia* y *Abrocoma cinerea*: roedores sintópicos en ambientes altoandinos del norte de Chile. *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural* 75:583-593.
- D'AGOSTINO, R. L., AND D. E. UDRIZAR. 2021. Relative abundance and activity patterns of terrestrial carnivorous mammals in Peninsula Valdes, Patagonia, Argentina. *Mammalia* 85:8-15.
- DELGADO, C., ET AL. 2011. Behaviour of the Tayra *Eira barbara* near Medellín, Colombia: preliminary data from a video capturing survey. *Small Carnivore Conservation* 44:19-21.
- DONADIO, E., ET AL. 2001. Activity patterns, home range and habitat selection of the common hog-nosed skunk, *Conepatus chinga* (Mammalia, Mustelidae), in northwestern Patagonia. *Mammalia* 65:49-54.
- FOSTER, V. C., ET AL. 2013. Jaguar and puma activity patterns and predator-prey interactions in four Brazilian biomes. *Biotropica* 45:373-379.
- GERBER, B., ET AL. 2012. Activity patterns of carnivores in the rain forests of Madagascar: implications for species coexistence. *Journal of Mammalogy* 93:667-676.
- HERZOG, S. K., ET AL. 2011. Climate Change and Biodiversity in the Tropical Andes. Inter-American Institute for Global Change Research (IAI) and Scientific Committee on Problems of the Environment (SCOPE). Sao Paulo, Brasil.
- HUARANCA, J. C., ET AL. 2019. Density and activity patterns of Andean cat and pampas cat (*Leopardus jacobita* and *L. colocolo*) in the Bolivian Altiplano. *Wildlife Research* 47:68-76.
- IDENTIDAD MADIDI AND SERNAP. 2017. Informe Científico 2015. Relevamientos de biodiversidad en el Parque Nacional y Área Natural de Manejo Integrado Madidi. La Paz, Bolivia.
- IDENTIDAD MADIDI AND SERNAP. 2019. Informe Científico 2016. Relevamientos de biodiversidad en el Parque Nacional y Área Natural de Manejo Integrado Madidi. La Paz, Bolivia.
- INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE ESTADÍSTICAS (INE). 2013. I Censo Agropecuario. La Paz, Bolivia.
- JIMÉNEZ, C. F., ET AL. 2010. Camera trap survey of medium and large mammals in a montane rainforest of northern Peru. *Revista Peruana de Biología* 17:191-196.
- JOHNSON, W. E., AND W. L. FRANKLIN. 1994. Spatial resource partitioning by sympatric grey fox (*Dusicyon griseus*) and culpeo fox (*Dusicyon culpaeus*) in southern Chile. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 72:1788-1793.
- KARANTH, K. U., ET AL. 2002. Monitoring tigers and prey: Conservation needs and managerial constraints. Pp.1-8, in *Monitoring tigers and their prey* (Karanth, K. U., and J. D. Nichols, eds.). Centre for Wildlife Studies. Bangalore, India.
- KARANTH, K. U., ET AL. 2004. Estimation of tiger densities in the tropical dry forests of Panna, Central India, using photographic capture-recapture sampling. *Animal Conservation* 7:285-290.
- LINKIE, M., AND M. S. RIDOUT. 2011. Assessing tiger-prey interactions in Sumatran rainforests: tiger-prey temporal interactions. *Journal of Zoology* 284:224-229.
- LUCHERINI, M., ET AL. 2009. Activity pattern segregation of carnivores in the High Andes. *Journal of Mammalogy* 90:1404-1409.

- MENA, J. L., ET AL. 2020. Abundance of jaguars and occupancy of medium- and large-sized vertebrates in Transboundary conservation landscape in the northwestern Amazon. *Global Ecology Conservation*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2020.e01079>.
- MONROY-VILCHIS, O., ET AL. 2011. Fototrampeo de mamíferos en la Sierra Nanchititla, México. *Revista de Biología Tropical* 59:373-383.
- MONTERROSO, P., P. C. ALVES, AND P. FERRERAS. 2014. Plasticity in circadian activity patterns of mesocarnivores in Southwestern Europe: Implications for species coexistence. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-014-1748-1>.
- NAPOLITANO, C., ET AL. 2008. Ecological and biogeographic inferences on two sympatric and enigmatic Andean cat species using genetic identification of fecal samples. *Molecular Ecology* 17:678-690.
- NAVARRO, G., AND M. MALDONADO. 2002. Geografía Ecológica de Bolivia. Vegetación y Ambientes Acuáticos. Centro de Ecología Simón I. Patiño. Santa Cruz, Bolivia.
- NICHOLS, J. D., A. F. O'CONNELL, AND K. U. KARANTH. 2011. Camera Traps in Animal Ecology and Conservation: What's Next?. Pp. 253-263, in *Camera Traps in Animal Ecology Methods and Analyses* (O'Connell A.F., J. D. Nichols, and K. U. Karanth, eds.). New York, EE. UU.
- NICHOLS, J. D., AND K. U. KARANTH. 2002. Statistical concepts; assessing spatial distribution. Pp. 29-38, in *Monitoring tigers and their prey* (Karanth K. U., and J. D. Nichols, eds.). Centre for Wildlife Studies. Bangalore, India.
- NOSS, A., ET AL. 2013. Evaluating jaguar densities with camera traps. *Wildlife Conservation Society*. New York, U.S.A.
- O'BRIEN, T. G., M. F. KINNAIRD, AND H. T. WIBISONO. 2003. Crouching tigers, hidden prey: Sumatran tiger and prey populations in a tropical forest landscape. *Animal Conservation* 6:131-139.
- O'CONNELL, A. F., J. D. NICHOLS AND K. U. KARANTH. 2011. *Camera traps in animal ecology: Methods and analyses*. Springer, New York, U.S.A.
- PACHECO, L., A. LUCERO, AND M. VILLCA. 2004. Dieta del puma (*Puma concolor*) en el Parque Nacional Sajama, Bolivia y su conflicto con la ganadería. *Ecología en Bolivia* 39:75-83.
- PAISLEY, S., AND D. GARSHELIS. 2005. Activity patterns of Andean bears (*Tremarctos ornatus*) in the Apolobamba Range of Bolivia. *Journal of Zoology* 268:25-34.
- PANIAGUA-ZAMBRANA N., C. MALDONADO, AND C. CHUMACERO. 2003. Mapa de vegetación de los alrededores de la Estación Biológica de Tunquini, Bolivia. *Ecología en Bolivia* 38:15-26.
- PÉREZ-HERNÁNDEZ, R., S. SOLARI, T. TARIFA, AND D. LEW. 2016. *Didelphis pernigra*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-1.RLTS.T136395A22176668>
- PORFIRIO, G., ET AL. 2016. Activity patterns of jaguar and pumas and their relationship to those of their potential prey in the Brazilian Pantanal. *Mammalia* 81:1-4.
- PRATAS-SANTIAGO, L. P., ET AL. 2016. The moon cycle effect on the activity patterns of ocelots and their prey. *Journal of Zoology* 299:275-283.
- R CORE TEAM. 2011. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. www.R-project.org/.
- RAMÍREZ-MEJÍA, F., AND F. SÁNCHEZ. 2016. Activity patterns and habitat use of mammals in an andean forest and a Eucalyptus reforestation in Colombia. *Histryx Italian Journal of Mammalogy* 27:1-6.
- RIBERA, M. O. 1995. Aspectos ecológicos, del uso de la tierra y conservación en el Parque Nacional y Área Natural de Manejo Integrado Cotapata. Pp. 1-84, in *Caminos de Cotapata* (Morales C. D, ed.). Instituto de Ecología. La Paz, Bolivia.
- RIDOUT, M. S., AND M. LINKIE. 2009. Estimating overlap of daily activity patterns from camera trap data. *Journal of Agricultural Biological and Environmental Statistics* 14:322-337.
- ROVERO, F., AND A. MARSHALL. 2009. Camera trapping photographic rate as an index of density in forest ungulates. *Journal Applied Ecology* 46:1011-1017.
- SALVATORI, V., ET AL. 1999. Spatial organization, activity, and social interactions of culpeo foxes (*Pseudalopex culpaeus*) in north-central Chile. *Journal of Mammalogy* 80:980-985.
- TARIFA, T., E. YENSEN, AND B. RIOS-UZEDA. 2010. Mephitidae. Pp. 483-496 in *Distribución, Ecología y Conservación de los Mamíferos Medianos y Grandes de Bolivia* (Wallace, R., H. Gómez, Z. Porcel, and D. Rumiz, eds.). Centro de Ecología y difusión Simón I. Patiño. Santa Cruz de la Sierra, Bolivia.
- TARIFA, T., ET AL. 2004. Vizcachas (*Lagidium viscacia*, Chinchillidae) en hábitats fragmentados en la ciudad de La Paz y sus alrededores: bases para su conservación. *Ecología en Bolivia* 39:53-74.
- TEJEDOR-GARAVITO, N., ET AL. 2012. Evaluación del estado de conservación de los bosques montanos en los Andes tropicales. *Ecosistemas* 21:148-166.
- THEUERKAUF, J., ET AL. 2003. Daily pattern sand duration of wolf activity in the Bialowiez a Forest, Poland. *Journal of Mammalogy* 84:243-253.
- TOBLER, M., ET AL. 2013. High jaguar densities and large population sizes in the core habitat of the southwestern Amazon. *Biological Conservation* 159:375-381.
- TOBLER, M., ET AL. 2008. An evaluation of camera traps for inventoring large and medium-sized terrestrial rainforest mammals. *Animal Conservation* 11:169-178.
- VAN DYKE, F. G., ET AL. 1986. Reactions of mountain lions to logging and human activity. *Journal Wildlife Management* 50:95-102.
- VELEZ-LIENDO, X. AND S. PAISLEY. 2010. Ursidae. Pp. 521-534, in *Distribución, Ecología y Conservación de los Mamíferos Medianos y Grandes de Bolivia* (Wallace, R., H. Gómez, Z. Porcel, and D. Rumiz, eds.). Centro de Ecología y difusión Simón I. Patiño. Santa Cruz de la Sierra, Bolivia.
- VISCARRA, M. E., G. AYALA, AND R. B. WALLACE. 2019. ¿Atraen los perfumes a los tucanes?. *Ornitología Neotropical* 30:45-50.
- WALKER, R. S., A. J. NOVARO, AND J. D. NICHOLS. 2000. Consideraciones para la estimación de abundancia de poblaciones de mamíferos. *Mastozoología Neotropical* 7:73-80.
- WALKER, S. R., ET AL. 2007. Diets of three species of Andean carnivores in high-altitude deserts of Argentina. *Journal of Mammalogy* 88:519-525.
- WALLACE, R. B., ET AL. 2010b. Cuniculidae, Dasyproctidae, Dinomyidae y Myocastoridae. Pp. 729-761, in *Distribución, Ecología y Conservación de los Mamíferos Medianos y Grandes de Bolivia* (Wallace, R., H. Gómez, Z. Porcel, and D. Rumiz, eds.). Centro de Ecología y difusión Simón I. Patiño. Santa Cruz de la Sierra, Bolivia.
- WALLACE, R. B., ET AL. 2003. Camera trapping capture frequencies for jaguar (*Panthera onca*) in the Tuichi Valley, Bolivia. *Mastozoología Neotropical* 10:133-139.

- WALLACE, R. B., ET AL. 2010a. Vacíos Geográficos en el Conocimiento de la distribución de los mamíferos medianos y grandes de Bolivia. Pp. 855-872, in *Distribución, Ecología y Conservación de los Mamíferos Medianos y Grandes de Bolivia* (Wallace, R., H. Gómez, Z. Porcel, and D. Rumiz, eds.). Centro de Ecología y difusión Simón I. Patino. Santa Cruz de la Sierra, Bolivia.
- WANG, E. 2002. Diets of Ocelots (*Leopardus pardalis*), Margays (*L. wiedii*), and Oncillas (*L. tigrinus*) in the Atlantic Rainforest in Southeast Brazil. *Estudios de Fauna y Medio Ambiente Neotropicales* 37:207-212.

Associated editor: Jorge Servín

Submitted: May 4, 2021; Reviewed: June 9, 2021

Accepted: May 16, 2022; Published on line: August 18, 2022

