Diversity and conservation of bats in a private protected area of southern México
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Species inventories represent the first step for the study of biological diversity. Achieving bat completeness inventories requires the use of several sampling methods. The species inventories are particularly important in zone with scarce or without biological information. This work updates the inventory of bat species of Private Protected Area known as the Jaguaroundi Ecological Park (hereafter PEJ, by its Spanish acronym), located in southern Veracruz. The sampling for this study was carried out between August and November 2015, using two methods (mist nets and ultrasonic detectors). We recorded 33 species of bats, 23 species with mist nets (20 registered only with this method), and 13 species with ultrasonic detectors (10 registered only with this method). We recorded 23 species in ever green seasonal forest, 20 species were founded in secondary forest and 17 species in areas near water bodies. Overall, the PEJ harbor 34 bat species including previous and new records. Three of this species (Lophostoma brasiliense, Myotis albescens and M. nigricans) are included in the Official Mexican Norm (NOM-059-SEMARNAT-2010) and one species (Peromyotis subflavus) on the IUCN Red List. This updated bat inventory of the PEJ represents a significant contribution to the knowledge of bats diversity in southern Veracruz as well as the role in conservation of Private Protected Areas in México.
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Los inventarios de especies representan el primer paso para el estudio de la diversidad biológica. Alcanzar inventarios completos de murciélagos requiere el empleo de diversos métodos de muestreo. Los inventarios de especies son particularmente importantes en zonas con escasa o nula información biológica. En este trabajo se actualiza el inventario de especies de murciélagos del Área Privada Protegida conocida como Parque Ecológico Jaguaroundi (de aquí en adelante PEJ, por su acrónimo) localizada en el sur de Veracruz. El muestreo para este estudio se realizó entre agosto y noviembre de 2015, empleando dos métodos (redes de niebla y detectores ultrasonicos). Se registraron 33 especies de murciélagos, 23 especies con redes de niebla (20 registradas solo con este método) y 13 especies con detectores ultrasónicos (10 registradas solo con este método). Se registraron 23 especies en selva, 20 especies fueron encontradas en bosques secundarios y 17 especies en áreas cercanas a cuerpos de agua. En total, el PEJ alberga 34 especies de murciélagos incluyendo registros previos y nuevos. Tres de estas especies (Lophostoma brasiliense, Myotis albescens y M. nigricans) están incluidas en la Norma Oficial Mexicana (NOM-059-SEMARNAT-2010) y una especie (Peromyotis subflavus) en la Lista Roja de la IUCN. Este inventario actualizado de los murciélagos del PEJ representa un aporte significativo al conocimiento de la diversidad de murciélagos en el sur de Veracruz, así como del papel de conservación de las Áreas Protegidas Privadas en México.
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Bats are considered key components of the ecosystems where they inhabit, since they fulfill various ecological functions (Kunz et al. 2011), particularly in the tropics, where they reach a high diversity and abundance (Coates et al. 2017). For instance, the state of Veracruz harbors 89 bat species (González-Christen and Delfín-Alonso 2016), but the diversity knowledge about this group is not homogeneous across the territory. Therefore, there are areas were the information of chiropterofauna composition is limited or non-existent. Having complete species inventories in areas with scarce or without biological information represents the first step in the study of biological diversity (Dirzo and Raven 1994) and for the design and implementation of conservation strategies. In the case of bats, reaching satisfactory level of species inventory completeness is complicated given their high diversity and the sampling difficulty due to their nocturnal and flying habits (Furey et al. 2009). Therefore, it is necessary to implement different sampling methods (MacSwiney et al. 2008; Pech-Canché et al. 2011).

Bat diversity in natural protected areas of México has been studied mainly in governmental natural protected areas such as the biosphere reserves Los Tuxtlas, Veracruz (Coates et al. 2017) or Montes Azules, Chiapas (Medellín 1994), while knowledge of bat diversity in private protected areas is scarce (Ortiz-Lozada et al. 2012). Jaguaroundi Ecological Park (Parque Ecológico Jaguaroundi; hereafter PEJ, by its spanish acronym) is a Private Protected Area (PPA) that was the first voluntarily areas for
conservation (Área Destinada Voluntariamente a la Conservación; hereafter ADVC, by its Spanish acronym) in México. The PEJ is located in the south of the state of Veracruz, in an area severely modified by different human activities (e.g., industrial activities and livestock; Nava and Rosas 2008). Little is known about species diversity, and the role of forest remnants in the maintenance of bats communities in this area. The PEJ has an extension of 960 hectares of diverse vegetation types and modified environments in different successional stages of passive restoration; however, there are hardly any preliminary inventories of the terrestrial vertebrates groups (mammals, birds, amphibians and reptiles; Herrera et al. 2008) which makes difficult to assess the role of PEJ on the conservation of biodiversity.

In the PEJ, in a preliminary study of the chiropterological fauna, 15 species were recorded using the mist net method (Herrera et al. 2008). In this study, we show the results of field work carried out in 2015, using two sampling methods (mist net trap and ultrasonic detector), we analyzed the complementarity between both methods, an updated inventory of bats of PEJ. Further we discuss the implications in terms of bat conservation. We expect that the information generated highlight the relevance of PEJ in the maintenance of bat diversity in a zone with continuous loss of original vegetation cover and help in the planning conservation strategies.

The PEJ is located in the Coatzacoalcos Municipality, in the south of the state of Veracruz, in southern México (Figure 1). The vegetation types present in the PEJ are evergreen forest, primary and secondary evergreen seasonal forest, oak forest, palm grove, swamp vegetation, savanna, as well as extensions of grasslands induced for livestock and small areas of bare ground (Ramos-Álvarez et al. 2008).

For the capture of bats in the PEJ, 9 sites were sampled between August and November 2015; one night per site placing 8 mist nets in each. Three sites were located in evergreen seasonal forest, three in secondary vegetation of evergreen seasonal forest and three in areas near water bodies, located within the reserve. Each mist net was placed 30 minutes before dusk and remained active 4.5 hours on average (between 18:30 and 22:00 h) and was reviewed every 20 minutes.

Mist nets with a length of 12 m and a width of 2.5 m were used, starting from a few centimeters above the ground, with a mesh opening of 33 mm, installed with the help of posts secured with ropes. The sampling effort in each site was of 210 m² / net and the total sampling in all sites was of 1,890 m² / net. For each captured individual, the time of capture, as well as the measurements of weight and length of the forearm were recorded. We recorded the sex, age and reproductive status (males: scrotum or abdominal testicles; female: inactive, pregnant and lactating). The species iden-

**Figure 1.** Location of Jaguaroundi Ecological Park (PEJ, perimeter indicated in red) and the sampling sites in ever-green seasonal forest (triangles), secondary vegetation of ever-green seasonal forest (squares) and areas near water bodies (circles).
tity of captured individuals was determined based on identification keys such as Medellín et al. (2008) and Álvarez-Castañeda et al. (2015) and later the specimens were released.

For the acoustic recording of bats, simultaneous samplings were carried out with the net method, in the months of August, September, and November of the same year at nine sites in the same environments, close to the sites where the samplings were carried out by the mist nets method. For this sampling, a SongMeter 2M + (Wildlife Acoustics) was used, which was installed on a tripod at a height of approximately 1.5 meters. The recordings were made continuously for a period of 2.5 hours, from the first 20 minutes before dusk, since it is the time interval considered the first peak of activity of insectivorous bats (Hayes 1997; Estrada-Villegas et al. 2010). The total sampling effort using this method was of 1,500 minutes. The recordings obtained were analyzed with the BatSound Pro 3.31 program (Petterson Elektronik AB), where the characteristics of the pulses were measured (e.g., initial frequency, final frequency, interval between calls and duration of the call); later they were compared with the information in specialized literature and reference recordings previously obtained for the identification of species. The general taxonomic classification was based on the publication of Ramirez-Pulido et al. (2014), and on later taxonomic studies. In the case of Pteronotus genus taxonomy we based on the studies with molecular evidence of López-Wilchis et al. (2016) whose recognize to P. mexicanus as the species with distribution in southern Veracruz, and on the study of Pavan and Marroig (2016) whose recognize the subspecies P. davyi fulvus as a valid species.

To determine the degree of completeness of the bat species inventory for the PEJ, the expected richness was estimated, calculated with the first and second order Jacknife and Chao 2, non-parametric estimators (Moreno et al. 2022; Coates-Estrada 2002; Coates et al. 2017; Ramirez-Lucho et al. 2017). The species richness recorded in PEJ is equivalent to 51 % of the 65 bat species recorded for Los Tuxtlas region (Coates et al. 2017), 37 % of the 89 bat species recorded for Veracruz (González-Christen and Delfín-Alonso 2016), and 24 % of the 137 bat species recorded for México (Ceballos et al. 2014; Ramirez-Pulido et al. 2014; Álvarez-Castañeda et al. 2015). The diversity of bats recorded in PEJ highlight their relevance for the conservation of bats from local to national scale. Additionally, this study represents a contribution to the knowledge of the role of Private Protected Areas in the conservation of bats, which has been scarcely explored (Durán et al. 2012; Cruz-Bázán et al. 2017).

The effectiveness of each type of sampling (acoustic, mist nets) for the recording of bats can be explained by species characteristics, such as the type of feeding and echolocation system. For example, insectivorous bats of Molossidae and Vespertilionidae families can fly higher, faster, and have a more developed echolocation system that allows them to avoid nets (Silva and Bernard 2017), than species of the family Phyllostomidae, which was the most abundant family in this study (Table 1), and in others (MacSwiney et al. 2008;
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Ramírez-Lucho et al. (2017). Phyllostomid probably are easier to capture in mist nets due to their feeding habits based mostly on fruits and nectar produced by plants of the lower strata of vegetation (Simmons and Voss 1998; Giannini and Kalko 2004). Our results indicate the importance of using complementary sampling methods to obtain complete inventories of bat species (O’Farrell and Gannon 1999; Furey et al. 2009), including ultrasonic sampling method (MacSwiney et al. 2008; Pech-Canche et al. 2010).

Since species accumulation curves, generated based on the sampling effort made in 2015, indicated that there are still between 3 and 11 species to registered, it would be desirable to make a greater sampling effort in all environments of PEJ including as many months of the year as possible, in order to record a more complete species inventory. There are some species that may elude detection in our sampling effort, and it is possible than they occur in PEJ. For instance, Chiroderma salvini was previously reported by Herrera et al. (2008) in the PEJ. Similarly, Ortiz-Lozada et al. (2017) reported Pteronotus personatus, Choeronycteris godmani and Rhogeessa tumida in the Ceratocamis Protection and Development Area (Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Species Name</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Guild</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>NOM</th>
<th>IUCN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emballonuridae</td>
<td>Saccopteryx bilineata (Temminck, 1838)</td>
<td>FST</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molossidae</td>
<td>Molossus molossus (Pallas, 1766)</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Molossus rufus Geoffroy, 1805</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Molossus sinaloae Allen, 1906</td>
<td>AWB</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mormoopidae</td>
<td>Mormoops megalophylla (Peters, 1864)</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pteronotus fulvus (Thomas, 1892)</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pteronotus mexicanus (Miller, 1902)</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>Ac, Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phyllostomidae</td>
<td>Artibeus jamaicensis Leach, 1821</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Artibeus lituratus (Offer, 1818)</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carollia perspicillata (Linnaeus, 1758)</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carollia sowelli Baker, Solari and Hoffmann, 2002</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Centurio senex Gray, 1842</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chiroderma salvii Dobson, 1878</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dermanura phaeotis Miller, 1902</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dermanura tolteca (Saussure, 1860)</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dermanura watsoni (Thomas, 1901)</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Desmodus rotundus (Geoffroy, 1810)</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glossophaga commissaris Gardner, 1962</td>
<td>Nec</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glossophaga leachi (Gray, 1844)</td>
<td>Nec</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glossophaga soricina (Pallas, 1766)</td>
<td>Nec</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lophostoma brasiense Peters, 1867</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsFol</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>LC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Micronycteris microtis Miller, 1898</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsFol</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Platyrhinus helleri (Peters, 1866)</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsFol</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sturnira hondurensis Goodwin, 1940</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsFol</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sturnira parvidens Goldman, 1917</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsFol</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uroderma bilobatum Peters, 1866</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsFol</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vespertilionidae</td>
<td>Eptesicus furinalis (d’Orbigny, 1847)</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lasius cinereus (Beauvois, 1796)</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lasius ega (Gervais, 1856)</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Myotis albscens (Geoffroy, 1806)</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ac, Ne</td>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>LC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Myotis keaysi Allen, 1914</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Myotis nigricans (Schinz, 1821)</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>LC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Myotis velifer (Allen, 1890)</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perimyotis subflavus (Cuvier, 1832)</td>
<td>Fru</td>
<td>InsAe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ac, Ne</td>
<td>VU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Among the species registered in the PEJ, *Centurio senex, Dermanura phaeotis, Eptesicus furinalis, Lophostoma brasiliense, Micronycteris microtis, Platyrhinus helleri* and *Saccopteryx bilineata*, are considered vulnerable species, since they inhabit forest fragments, but avoid the open fields (Galindo-González 2007). In our study only *L. brasiliense, M. microtis* and *S. bilineata* were recorded exclusively in evergreen seasonal forest sites, while the other species were recorded in the entire landscape, except *P. helleri* which was recorded in forest and in areas near water bodies (Table 1). Other species as *Artibeus jamaicensis, A. lituratus, Carollia sowelli, C. perspicillata, Dermanura tolteca, Desmodus rotundus, Glossophaga soricina, Molossus rufus, Mormoops megaphylla, Myotis keayi, Pteronotus fulvus, P. mesoamericanus* and *Uroderma bilobatum* are considered adaptable, generalist species, with greater tolerance to the environment transformation (Galindo-González 2007). However, in our study *C. perspicillata, D. tolteca* and *M. keayi* were only recorded in forest sites (Table 1). The patterns of species distribution observed in the PEJ could be explained by the composition and heterogeneous configuration of the landscape matrix, and maybe due to the home range amplitude and the feeding habits of bat species, but future studies are necessary to determine the way the species move across the landscape.

From a conservation perspective, the species richness and the number of species included in categories of risk of extinction in national or international protection initiatives recorded so far in PEJ (Table 1), highlight the role of PEJ in conservation of bats in a landscape severely modified by human activities (Nava and Rosas 2008; Sommer and Oropeza-Orozco 2010). Additionally, this study lays the base line for the development of conservation programs within the park at the local level and their possible inclusion in regional conservation programs as the Mesoamerican Biological Corridor (Miller et al. 2001).

A desirable future task is to evaluate the role of PEJ in conjunction with PPAs, the Tuzandepetl Ecological Park (Parque Ecológico Tuzandepetl; PET by its spanish acronym) and the APDC (5 km away between the three reserves; Figure 1), in the bat conservation of extreme south of Veracruz. These three reserves are the only Natural Protected Areas in the region, where the closest natural protected area is Los Tuxtlas Biosphere Reserve, located 50 km to the west, and La Chontalpa Ecological Park, located 85 km to the southeast. For this purpose, it is necessary to do sampling effort in several consecutive years considering all seasons, in the three PPAs, particularly in the PET where bat inventory species are lacking. For Ceratozamia Protection and Development Area, we recommend using the method of ultrasonic detectors, in order to have a more complete inventory of chiropterological fauna, because only 15 species were recorded using the mist nets sampling method (Ortiz-Lozada et al. 2017). But also, is desirable to carry out sampling effort in unprotected land in PPAs surrounding areas, considering all environments as forest patches, live fences, livestock grasslands and even urban areas to determine the landscape spatiotemporal use pattern that bats make.
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